



- Multiple measures: Empirical evidence from multiple measures, data sources, and data types is
 essential to valid judgments of progress and to appropriate consequences. For example, at the
 local level, if teachers' assessments as well as standardized test scores were incorporated into
 accountability systems, this could provide more detailed information regarding curriculum mastery
 by students.
- Measurement of individual student progress over time: Many traditional assessments examine
 current achievement levels only. Including longitudinal data on student progress over time would
 increase the sensitivity of the system to changes in learning made by individual students and could
 help identify the effects of services provided.
- Context sensitive reporting: Reporting systems that promote awareness of the many influences affecting outcomes are part of a complete and accurate assessment of school quality and student achievement. Findings from research and evaluations should be reported and considered part of a comprehensive educational accountability system.
- Data-based resource allocations: If resource allocations take into consideration the needs and
 difficulties that are identified from comprehensive data of many types, the result could be greater
 equity in funding and increased support for teachers and schools that serve low-income and other
 high-risk students.
- Accessible appeals processes: The opportunity to appeal decisions enhances the fairness and
 transparency of an educational accountability system that is itself accountable for the
 appropriateness of its decisions and the accuracy, completeness, and relevance of its evidence.
- Public participation and access: Ideally, accountability systems should be developed and
 implemented with broad participation by many stakeholders. A system that is open to public
 involvement and scrutiny is likely to result in a more complete understanding of educational
 institutions, their contexts, the nature and success of their efforts, and the effects and
 appropriateness of the consequences of accountability systems.

Educational accountability has the potential to improve the quality of our schools and the experiences and achievements of our children. The concerns and strategies outlined above are intended to encourage educational accountability systems that fulfill that potential.

Development of this statement

A task force composed of David Bernstein, Linda Mabry (chair), Howard Mzumara, Katherine Ryan, and Maria Whitsett was authorized by the AEA Board of Directors to prepare a public statement for issuance by the organization on the subject of educational accountability. Plans, progress, and a draft were presented to AEA members at three town hall sessions during the 2003-2005 association conferences. Additional internal review of drafts was provided by ten AEA members. External review was also provided by a state commissioner of education, a prominent measurement author and technical advisor to many states, a former president of the National Council for Measurement in Education and American Educational Research Association, and the president of a regional education board. The resulting statement was submitted to the AEA Public Affairs Committee, revised based on their feedback, edited or reviewed by two former AEA journal editors and two presidents, and resubmitted. Preliminary Board approval was obtained June 24, 2006, after which the statement was released for online review and comment by the full AEA membership, revised again, and approved by the Board November 1, 2006.

American Evaluation Association • 16 Sconticut Neck Rd #290 • Fairhaven MA 02719 • www.eval.org